Present: Marilyn Brock, Dana Van Sinden, Eva Bagg, Rose DelGaudio, Ann-Marie Gabel, Greg Peterson, John Downey, Shauna Hagemann, Lorraine Blouin, Carlos Ramos, Jorge Ochoa, Kenna Hillman, Charlotte Joseph, Karen Kane, Thomas Hamilton, Maria Narvaez

Absent: Lou Anne Bynum, Meena Singhal, Christian Woerner, Connie Sears, José Ramón Nuñez, Lark Zurich, Lynn Shaw, Karen Roberts

1. The Summary Notes from October 17, 2013 were accepted with changes.

2. The meeting schedule for Spring 2014 was distributed. As discussed at the October meeting, CPC will present institutional priorities to the Budget Advisory Committee, so A. Gabel proposed that CPC join BAC at its March 17th meeting at 3 pm. Members agreed to the joint meeting.

3. The CPC meeting in December will be on Dec. 12.

4. D. Van Sinden led the discussion regarding planning for Promise Pathways (P2) by presenting a planning grid she had developed. G. Peterson and S. Hagemann also worked with her on the grid and they presented it to the Academic Council. The purpose of the grid is to capture the group's perceptions about P2, whether or not they have been involved in it.

The members broke out into the following groups, and they were mindful to have at least one person in each group who was involved in Promise Pathways.

   b. S. Hagemann, R. DelGaudio, J. Downey, E. Bagg, J. Ochoa
   c. M. Brock, L. Blouin, A. Gabel, G. Peterson, K. Kane

After meeting in their subgroups, a member from each group wrote some of their findings on large post-it notes. D. Van Sinden facilitated the discussion (see grid). After a lengthy discussion, there was agreement that Promise Pathways should report to the Student Success Committee (SSC). The SSC has much broader goals and there are many different strategies for student success, and P2 is just one of the strategies. D. Van Sinden drew a graphic depicting the Student Success Committee being the overarching umbrella and that various student success strategies fall under it.

CPC asked that the Student Success Committee review their charge and the Student Success Plan and present recommended changes to CPC in the Spring. They also need to take into consideration the elements of the Student Success Plan that are mandated by the Chancellor's Office.

Once Promise Pathways reports to the SSC, then the SSC could report to the CPC any needs or resource allocation request which can then be incorporated into institutional priorities. The groups under P2 will continue to function as is until further notice.
• “1st yr experience”
• “Basic Skills Support”
• “CTE Pathways”